



Workshop Evaluation Summary

Feedback report for Program development and evaluation workshop – Thursday 28 April 2016

Facilitator: Andrew King

Feedback from the end of course evaluation form

		Course feedback	Percentage
No. of participants		8	
Length in days		1	
No. of completed evaluations		8	100%
Expectation?	Yes	7	88%
	Partly	1	13%
	No	0	0%
Level of training	Too High	0	0%
	Just Right	8	100%
	Too Low	0	0%
Facilitators Attitude - Poor	1	0	0%
	2	0	0%
	Okay	3	0%
	4	5	63%
Great	5	3	38%
Facilitators Knowledge - Poor	1	0	0%
	2	0	0%
	Okay	3	0%
	4	2	25%
Great	5	6	75%
Way the workshop was run - Poor	1	0	0%
	2	0	0%
	Okay	3	13%
	4	6	75%
Great	5	1	13%
Handouts	not enough	1	13%
	Ok	3	38%
	very useful	4	50%

Key outcomes

- 88% of participants thought the workshop *achieved what they expected*.
- 100% of the participants thought the workshop was pitched at the right level.
- 100% of participants reported the facilitator's attitude was *very good to great*.
- 100% of participants reported the facilitator's knowledge of the subject was *very good to great*.
- 87% of participants reported the way the course was run was *very good to great*
- 50% of participants who completed evaluations reported the handouts were very useful

Qualitative feedback

What topic/issue has been the most important for you?

- The road map is a useful way of looking at development of programs. The importance of front sections and evaluation.
- Using experiential exercises; planning and developing groups
- Understanding the key components of IGL accreditation guidelines; also great ideas from group members and Andrew
- Group planning; buy in from other members; evaluation
- Practical breakdown of development process
- Road map
- Road maps were great, helped particularly for future planning of new groups but also consolidating older groups to make them more robust
- The road map process

What topic/ issue has been the least important for you?

- What model of change is used
- The theoretical underpinnings
- Sharing individual session plans
- More a logistical issue – when working in pairs might be helpful to provide a halfway alert
- I found all topics very useful

What impact will this training have on your work?

- It will assist me to think more about evaluation, about the balance between group and individual counselling.
- Helpful process to develop skeleton/ framework for program development
- Ideas and confidence to make existing groups better and firm framework for new upcoming ideas
- It has encouraged me to apply for IGLK endorsed accreditation
- The way that I plan, implement and evaluate the group in a systemised way
- Helped me reflect on the front end of the theoretical framework of my group
- Looking at instrumental change around delivery
- Great tools to use in all aspects of group work and I'm interested in finding out more about how to have group programs endorsed
- Experiential approach was great but felt that the pair discussions was too rushed

Any other comments about the training course

- Limited info on evaluation provided. More info on group classification and specialisation could be included in the booklet
- Limited info about evaluation provided. More info on group classification/ specialisations could be included in the booklet
- Is there a 1-day course on evaluation – how to, creating stats.
- Thankyou
- Thankyou Andrew – insightful day – very knowledgeable